Reconsideration of the specific species issue is respectfully solicited.

Applicants provisionally elect Species A, namely, the species as shown in Figures 1-4, 7-11 and 13-16, which species comprise a holder supporting a set of prisms, and at least one lens disposed between the holder and the eye. If the Examiner disagrees with the Applicants' suggestion, Applicants then provisionally elect Species I, namely, the species as shown in Figures 1-4 and 11, it being understood that Applicants then do not understand the Examiner's rationale for splitting the various species the way it has been designated in the Office Action.

Claims 1, 4, 5, 7 and 13 are generic. Claims 1, 2, 4-18 are believed readable onto the elected Species I specified by the Examiner and Species A specified by Applicants.

The above set forth commentary has been presented by Applicants in an effort to expedite the further prosecution of this application. If there are other issues associated with the election of species requirement, Applicants respectfully request that the Examiner notify the undersigned attorney of record.

Respectfully submitted,

David C. Barris

DGB/kc

FLYNN, THIEL, BOUTELL & TANIS, P.C.
2026 Rambling Road
Kalamazoo, MI 49008-1631
Phone: (269) 381-1156
Fax: (269) 381-5465

Dale H. Thiel	Reg.	No.	24	323
David G. Boutell	Reg.	No.	25	072
Ronald J. Tanis	Reg.	No.	22	724
Terryence F. Chapman	Reg.	No.	32	549
Mark L. Maki	Reg.	No.	36	589
David S. Goldenberg	Reg.	No.	31	257
Sidney B. Williams, Jr.	Reg.	No.	24	949
Liane L. Churney	Reg.	No.	40	694
Brian R. Tumm	Reg.	No.	36	328
Tricia R. Cobb	Reg.	No.	44	621
Robert J. Sayfie	Reg.	No.	37	714

Encl: Postal Card

136.05/03